

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,999	03/07/2005	Yuichiro Sasaki	MFA-8675US	9408
23122 RATNERPRE	7590 04/08/200 STIA	8	EXAMINER	
PO BOX 980			SARKAR, ASOK K	
VALLEY FOR	RGE, PA 19482-0980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2891	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/526,999	SASAKI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Asok K. Sarkar	2891		

		Asok K. Sarkar	2891					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE	REPLY FILED 28 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavl, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) b)								
have l under set for may r	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from; (1) the expiration date of the s rith in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). CE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2.	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
==	NUMENTS The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
	They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a cancel in the present additional claims without cancel in the cancel in the present additional claims without cancel in the can	ter form for appeal by materially rec		ne issues for				
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
4. 🗌			mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. 🗌	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. 🗌	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the				
7. 🛚	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) original representation:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
AFFI	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
REQ	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
11.	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
		/Asok K. Sarkar/						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2891

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitation wherein the reductive liquid is at least one liquid selected from the group consisting of sodium hydroxide, sulfinic acid, and adipic acid di-2-ethylhexyl ester* raises new issues that will require further consideration and search.